



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/785,579	02/23/2004	Richard T. Evers	0638	9925		
7590 08/07/2006			EXAMINER			
Kirk D. Houser			RAMPURIA, SATISH			
Eckert Seamans	Cherin & Mellott, LLC					
44th Floor	ŕ	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
600 Grant St.			2191			
Pittsburgh, PA 15219			DATE MAILED: 08/07/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/785,579	EVERS, RICHARD T.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Satish S. Rampuria	2191		

The MAILING DATE of this co	mmunication appe	ears on the cover shee	et with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 June 2006 FAILS T	O PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDIT	ION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final reject this application, applicant must timely places the application in condition for a Request for Continued Examination time periods:</li> </ol>	y file one of the follow r allowance; (2) a No	wing replies: (1) an ame otice of Appeal (with app	endment, af peal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 month	s from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the no event, however, will the statutory					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, on TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJ	ECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 C have been filed is the date for purposes of deter under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply recommay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. NOTICE OF APPEAL	mining the period of ex expiration date of the eived by the Office late	tension and the correspor shortened statutory period r than three months after t	nding amount I for reply orig	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR-4 a Notice of Appeal has been filed, an AMENDMENTS	1.37(a)), or any exte	ension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed a	fter a final rejection,	but prior to the date of	filing a brief	f, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that wou					
(b) They raise the issue of new ma	•	•			
(c) ⊠ They are not deemed to place appeal; and/or		•	-		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims		• •	of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation She</u>					
4. The amendments are not in compliant			e of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>				•	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the propose how the new or amended claims wou The status of the claim(s) is (or will b Claim(s) allowed:	ıld be rejected is pro			ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration	nn.				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·····				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed a because applicant failed to provide a was not earlier presented. See 37 C	showing of good an				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed a entered because the affidavit or othe showing a good and sufficient reason	r evidence failed to	overcome all rejections	under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is en	•	on of the status of the cl	laims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OT  11. ☐ The request for reconsiderat because:		lered but does NOT pla	ce the appl	ication in condition for	allowance
12. Note the attached Information Discl	osure Statement(s).				
			•	/	
		_	MU	yv	
		3		II .	

WEI ZHEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3(c). does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In view of Applicants arguments and comments the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112 second paragraphs to claims 1-21 is withdrawn.

Further, Applicants repeatedly argued that no installer is taught by the reference Berghel et al., however, installer is inherent to Berghel et al. as indicated in the previous responses that Berghel discloses NTFS and ADS and PDS files in Windows environment. Berghel is silent on installer. However, the feature deemed to be inherent to Berghel system. Berghel disclose ADS contains binary executables (page 4, section Phishing and Executable Streams). Berghel system would in inoperative if the installer is not present to provide ADS from PDS that includes executable file. It is therefore again submitted that installer is inherent to the Berghel's system as indicated previously. Furthermore, Applicant's argue that Zimniewicz adds nothing to Berghel, however, as indicated previously that Zimniewicz provides an easy way to understand during the installation that allow to clearly see the state of the components affected, what actions are performed by the installer (col. 3, lines 5-22).